

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE/ United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,692	06/07/2006	Katsuaki Nakamura	F8978 2988		
28107 IORDAN AND	7590 09/13/2007 D HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER			
122 EAST 42N		TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS			
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		,	3725		
			MAN DATE	DEL WEDV MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	•	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/565,692		NAKAMURA ET AL.				
		Examiner.		Art Unit				
		Edward Tolan		3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp , cause the application	COMMUNICATION DWEVER, may a reply be time ire SIX (6) MONTHS from its to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this co				
Status								
2a) ☐ This act 3) ☐ Since th	sive to communication(s) filed on tion is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This nis application is in condition for allowar n accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-f	formal matters, pro		merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s 8) ☐ Claim(s Application Paper 10) ☑ The draw Application Replace) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. ne above claim(s) is/are withdraw) is/are allowed.) 1-23 is/are rejected.) is/are objected to.) is/are objected to.) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine wing(s) filed on 23 January 2006 is/are: at may not request that any objection to the or ment drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Examine or declaration is objected to by the Exa	wn from consider election requies. The analog accepte drawing(s) be he to the too is required if	rement. d or b) □ objectedeld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date	4) [5) [6) [=	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/565,692

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,11-14 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (6,370,930). Lee discloses a metal molding method and apparatus comprising a mold (100) with a molding space (102) having a predetermined shape and a metal lead-in space between pressure means (103,104) which feed the metal to the molding space. The metal is deformed by shearing. The metal is bent (figs. 5a,5b). Regarding claims 4,14 and 22 In figures 7a,7b Lee discloses that the

Application/Control Number: 10/565,692

Art Unit: 3725

molding space has a communicating/molding region wherein the feeding direction changes.

Claims 1,10,11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jin (WO 01/96039). Jin discloses a mold (C) having a plurality of lead-in spaces (2) and a molding space (5). Metal bodies (B) are fed to the molding space (5) by pressure means (1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nippert (4,423,617) in view of Cogan (3,286,502). Nippert discloses a metal molding method and apparatus comprising a mold (20) having a molding space, a molding region (18) having a predetermined shape (cylindrical) and a lead-in space (at mold end 22) where pressure means (26) supplies metal (16) to a hole forming pin (24) which forms a hole in the metal. A cylindrical collar is in slide contact with an inner peripheral surface of the mold (20) and is moved along the hole forming pin a predetermined distance (fig. 3b). The hole forming pin is retracted after forming. Nippert does not disclose that a feeding direction is made different. Cogan teaches a mold (10) having a molding space (16) and metal lead-in spaces (18a,18b). A hole forming pin (30) forms a hole in the metal projecting out of the mold (10) through the

Application/Control Number: 10/565,692

Art Unit: 3725

molding region (16). A direction of the metal is different from a feeding direction as it

projects out of the mold. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time

of invention to change a direction of the material of Nippert as taught by Cogan in order

to provide the formed metal body with a grain or metal orientation different from that of

the supplied metal body workpiece.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose

telephone number is 571-272-4525.

EDTOLAN

Page 4

PRIMARY EXAMINER